Landmark Lawsuit Alleging Medical Battery Killed 19-Year-Old With Down Syndrome Will Go to Trial

A Missouri jury and another overturn a year-old Down's Syndrome, who had Down syndrome, was the victim of battery after a judge last month denied the way for a fine. a, may, trial. Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day and stay informed with the latest headlines and breaking analysis of hopeful posts from COVID-19 protocols.

By Mike Capuzzo

Miss a day, miss a lot. Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day. It's free.

More than two years after 19-year-old Grace Schara died following treatment for COVID-19, a Wisconsin circuit court judge ruled that her father's wrongful death lawsuit against Dr. Elizabeth Hospital in Appleton, Wisconsin, can proceed to trial.

Outagamie County Circuit Court Judge Mark J. McGinnis last month rejected all motions by Schara's father — which led to Grace's wrongful death on Oct. 13, 2021.

According to the complaint, doctors and nurses defied the informed consent law. Fraudulently labeled this daughter as a DNR (Do Not Resuscitate) patient, administered what she could not consent to and ultimately killed her, the complaint alleges.

Due to hospital protocols, Schara said, his daughter was given a knowingly lethal blend of drugs and ventilator settings that caused her to suffocate to death, Cindy Schara, who processed Grace's legal and medical power of attorney.

When Schara sought a second opinion, Grace was moved to hospice and died. Schara's legal team argued that Grace's death was the result of battery — a standard of intentional harm by doctors and other providers beyond medical negligence — to be considered at trial, making this a potential landmark case.

RFK Jr. and Brian Hooker's new book: "Tox-Unsafe"

ORDER NOW

In addition to Rosemont Hospital System, the largest Catholic hospital system in the U.S., Schara sued five doctors, two registered nurses and four "John Doe" hospital providers alleging the hospital's COVID-19 treatment protocols caused his daughter's death.

The jury will now have the ability to decide whether the hospital and its doctors and nurses did not reversibly violate laws to provide patient informed consent, but also committed battery.

The court accepted the distinction laid out in Schara's legal brief.

"Imagine a physician who fails to fully inform a patient about the risks of a leg amputation, but the patient does agree to have his leg amputated," the brief stated. "This violates the duty to obtain informed consent under Wis. Stat. § 448.30."

But "how imagine a physician who amputates a patient's leg without obtaining consent or telling the patient or the patient's family what he is doing (and, in this case, removing the patient's power of attorney and parents from the room)," he is first). That is a battery."

Dr. Meryl Nass, a member of CHD's scientific advisory committee, told The Defender Schara had presented "a landmark case, and we all need to cross our fingers that he wins."

"He has great documentation," Nass said. "This was medical professionals, acting against all of their ethical obligations and harming a very [young] young woman, who was able to drive and play violin, even though she had Down syndrome."

Schara said the ruling that opens the door to physician battery could lead to historic reforms of doctors' legal exposure to malpractice.

"Our case simply surviving today should send shockwaves across the nation, because we showed how to pierce the medical malpractice veil with a legal brief," he added. "Winning this claim will create a total wave."

'Grace's death is one of many'

Schara has worked tirelessly to call attention to his daughter's death and his lawsuit.

In addition to employing a legal team led by Warren Wendenhall, he created two websites about her case, Our Amazing Grace and gracesharacase.com. The videos about her playfulness, her love of horseback riding and lilies, his calls his daughter "my best friend."

Wendenhall, who appeared with Schara on "Good Morning CHD," said Schara was "actually helping to carve a pathway for other families" that "is important for attorneys and families to understand ... Getting through this opens the doorway for you and for other people at other hospitals to be able to have a great many more."

Schara has spent thousands of hours researching what he calls "medical murder."

Medical malpractice has surpassed heart disease and cancer during the COVID-19 era, he said, as "the No. 1 cause of death in the U.S."

Schara produced a documentary, "Breaking the Oath," [redacted] chronicling Grace's and other victims' stories and calling on people to "once again sacrifice for the future of humanity" and step forward in an historic effort to fight.

"Grace's death is one of many," he said.

Schara also launched a podcast, "Reprogramming with Grace's Dad," in which he acknowledges that his daughter's death led him "to discovering he has been programmed to believe things that are not true" about the healthcare system, and urges his audience "to open eyes and hearts to start the process of deprogramming yourself."

"The Wuhan Cover-Up" by Robert F. Kennedy Jr.

ORDER NOW

'Case is about something much bigger than mere malpractice'

Schara said his most important message, the key point of his lawsuit, is to establish a "legislative immunity" that surrounds the medical profession and that people don't understand.

"The state statutes want to put a lack of informed consent as a medical malpractice claim, but it also gives doctors an out in paying for their lives because they have medical malpractice liability insurance that covers them," he explained.

As outlined in the legal brief, Schara said hospital lawyers "want this court to rule that a patient with Down syndrome can be intentionally restrained, sedated, and killed by doctors who lack informed consent because a mentally handicapped person without a mind does not have any complete medical negligence."

As a result, Schara said, "Defendants envision a world where there is no commoner law claim for the failure of a healthcare provider to obtain consent" for any medical procedure, "even if the doctor kills the patient by performing a mistaken physician may administer deadly drugs to patients in the hospital purposes, including making room for new patients."

At a critical moment in the case, Schara said, the defense moved to dismiss Schara's wrongful death charges and dismiss the case, arguing that his claim should be covered under medical malpractice.

Hospital lawyers also moved to dismiss Schara's request for a declaratory judgment regarding the illegal DNR, arguing that "the issue is moot, because Grace Schara, the subject of the order, is deceased."

"This bellwether case is about something much bigger than mere malpractice, and its impact will reverberate nationally," Schara said.

"Our goal is simple: Save lives. That's why this case is first about the lack of informed consent and battery. Nothing to negligence or malpractice, which then resulted in wrongful death."

"Moreover, this case is about protecting the public from doctors unilaterally playing DNR orders on patients," he said. "If we would have had informed consent, Grace would be with us today."

This article was updated to state that Grace's Schara died more than two years ago, not more than three years ago as originally stated.

Subscribe to The Defender - It's Free!

First Name Last Name Email SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Mike Capuzzo

Mike Capuzzo is the managing editor of The Defender. He is a former prize-winning reporter for The Philadelphia Inquirer and The Miami Herald, a science writer, and a former investigator for the Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General. He is also a journalism awards as a writer, editor and publisher.

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on legal strategies to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those injured. We can't do it without your support.

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy

We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.

Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.

Get It

27 Comments

Login

Join the discussion...

LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS

Name

Share

Best Newest Oldest

Bill in Montgomery
4 days ago

Great news that this case will get a jury. I could see where it could open up the floodgates for lawsuits, but I'm glad that the judge ruled that the case was important enough people in healthcare for this case to NOT proceed.

From the details in this story, what was done to the terrified young lady is heart-wrenching. This was a crime. Sue a criminal.

Reply Share

Kay Cee
4 days ago

Thank you for the judge's decision. Best wishes for justice for Grace and to block this from ever happening again.

Reply Share

Charles Hudson
4 days ago

Grace is my hero brother. Grieve against humanity. There's a good argument for the crime fitting the punishment.

I condone some leniency for those involved with this atrocity if give up the names of those issuing the orders from above.

Reply Share

Karen
4 days ago

Grace was hospitalized killed by a cousin a month prior. I hope she got justice.

Reply Share

Heather Jewell
4 days ago

I'm sorry to hear about your cousin. The weaponization must be stopped and the perpetrators punished.

Reply Share

moonbaz
4 days ago

I am SOOOOO following this, and SOOOOOO hope that the Defender wins a win, and that Grace's family gets justice. I'm so sorry for your loss, Grace's family. Sending a young woman's death should be shaking a little funny. Hope that a DA steps up & says you want the trial that will destroy your life as you destroyed hers.

Reply Share

Abby Smith
4 days ago

Grace's story is more famous Down Sydney this subject of the unlike is deceased."

That sounds more like a confession than sound legal reasoning.

Reply Share

Cattledogz
4 days ago

GOOD NEWS! It is about time. This is not the first case I have heard of over the last few months where a jury has awarded a family of a victim of medical malpractice and/or medical battery, one over $20M, per patient in ICU. The incentivized payment for the patient was an incentivized payment that was investigated by the US DEPT OF HHS and the FBI even in the Obama administration. Hospitals were paid incentivized payments for putting patients on ventilators, nurses with zero sympathy or bedside manner, starving patients, dry-dehydrating patients, and trapping patients in their "cure" enforcing Remdesivir even after family expressly denying the use of Remdesivir for their loved one